SECRETARIAT OF THE PACIFIC COMMUNITY

Climate Change and Food Security Vulnerability Assessment for Divers Bay Village, Ureparapara, Torba Province, Vanuatu

SPC/USAID Project on "Enhanced Climate Change Resiliency of Food Production Systems in Selected Pacific Island Countries"

> Gibson Susumu Siosiua Halavatau (PhD) Dean Solofa Fereti Atumurirava

Secretariat of the Pacific Community Land Resources Division Suva, Fiji

June 2013

Table	of	Contents
	~	

	Pa	ages
Tabl List	e of Contents of Tables of Figures.	1 2 3
1.0		4
1.0	Introduction	4
	1.1 Area Description	4
	1.2 Project Site	5
	1.3 Objective	5
2.0	Methodology	6
	2.1 Site Selection Process	6
	2.2 The process and Assessment Team	6
3.0	Results	9
	3.1 Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment for Divers Bay Village Village	8
	3.2 Population Characteristics	11
	3.3 Households Income	11
	3.4 Land Access and Land Use	11
	3.5 Housing/Housing Types and Appliances	11
	3.6 Food Consumption Analysis	12
	3.7 Transect Walk Findings	12
4.0	Discussions	14
5.0	Recommendations	16
Appe Appe Appe Appe	endix 1. Master Logframe endix 2. Detailed Logframe endix 3. PRA Team Members endix 4. Survey Questionnaire	17 18 21 22

List of Tables

Tables	Pa	ages
Table 1	Divers Bay Village Exposure to Climatic Change	9
Table 2	Divers Bay Village Sensitivity to Climate Change	9
Table 3	Divers Bay Village Adaptive capacity to Climate Change	10
Table 4	Population Demographics	11
Table 5	Households Income	11
Table 6	Housing types, Water Sources and Facilities	12
Table 7	Land Access and Land Use	12
Table 8	Energy Availability	12
Table 9	Protein Availability	12
Table 10	Transect Walk Findings	13
Table 11	Adaptation Strategies for Sepa and Loimuni Villages	20
Table 12	Master Logframe	22
Table 13	Detailed Logframe	23

List of Figures

Figures	Pa	ages
Figure 1	Map of Vanuatu showing Ureparapara Island	4
Figure 2	PRA Steps and Tools	7

1.0 INTRODUCTION 1.1 Area Description

Vanuatu is one of the six countries that were selected as pilot project countries for the SPC/USAID project titled "*Vegetation and land cover mapping and improving food security for building resilience to a changing climate in Pacific island communities*". The main goal of the SPC/USAID project is to evaluate and implement innovative techniques and management approaches to increase climate change resilience of terrestrial food production systems for communities in selected PICTS (Fiji, Kiribati, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga and Vanuatu).

Vanuatu Overview*:	
Population:	234,023
GDP (US\$):	684 million
Rural Population:	75% (% of total population)
Population Density:	19/km2
Land Area:	12,189 km2
EEZ	680,000 km2
Population Annual Growth	2.3%
*Source: Vanuatu Statistics	

Figure 1. Map of Vanuatu showing Ureparapara Island



Source: Vanuatu Government

1.2 Project Site

The project site selected for Vanuatu for the SPC/USAID project is Divers Bay Village in Ureparapa Island. Ureparapara is the third largest island in Banks Island group. Banks together with Torres island group formed the Torba Province, the northern part of Vanuatu. The capital of Torba Province is Sola, Vanua Lava island, the second largest island in Banks. The population of Ureparapara is 436 (2009 Census) and consist of 3 villages. Divers Bay is the biggest village located on the eastern part of the island within the Ureparapara Bay. Divers Bay village is situated in a valley around a strip of flat area toward the coastal part of the island. About half kilometer from the village is a steep cone shape rugged mountain that runs through the island. Accessing Ureparapara is by boat from Sola (3-4 hours), Vanua Lava.

Ureparapara was selected as the project sites based on several reasons; the island is very isolated in terms of service access, vulnerability to climate change and natural disasters and increasing population growth, high dependency on agriculture for subsistence and livelihoods and experiencing high production problems. The main types of crops grown the community includes root crops (taro, sweet potato, yam, cassava) fruits (breadfruits, mango and banana, citrus, pawpaw, coconuts) and vegetables (Bele and eggplants) with a few families keeping indigenous pigs and chickens. Most or all livestock are kept in subsistence production systems.

1.3 Objective

The main objective of the assessment was to conduct climate change vulnerability assessments on the land based agricultural production systems and identify adaptation measures to the impacts of climate change. More specifically:

- 1. Assess the degree of vulnerability to climate change on food productions systems in Ureparapara;
- 2. Assess food security situation in Ureparapara;
- 3. Identify adaptation measures to the impacts of climate change on food production systems.

2.0 METHODOLOGY

2.1 Site Selection Process

Vanuatu Government recommended ten (10) islands as potential sites for the SPC/USAID climate change project. Because of limited funds to carry out implementation activities in the ten recommended islands, a selection criteria was developed to rank priority potential project site. The following criteria were used to prioritise project site:

- a. Accessibility. For effective delivery of on-the-ground project activities, accessibility was identified as a key criterion for project implementation. On this basis, logistics was considered as important criteria.
- b. Socio-economics. A distinctive population trend is a proxy indication of climate change vulnerability (population density) hence inclusion of population trend as another criteria.
- c. Food production systems. Food production systems vulnerability is some indication of potential areas of food insecurity adaptation site. This includes characteristics of the area in terms of agricultural land and water/irrigation problems are important factors for effective implementation and sustainability of the project. Level of agriculture management practices such as soil improvement practices and potential for application of technologies were also considered as important criteria.
- d. Biodiversity/agro-biodiversity is another criterion noting that rich biodiversity is a reflection of high value for resilience to climate change if protected and sustainably managed.
- e. Topography of the area such as soil type, flood plain and soil erosion problems are indications of vulnerability hence its inclusion in the selection criteria.
- f. Climate change impacts. Likewise if the area is vulnerable to impacts of climate change such as, salinity/drought/flooding, prolonged high rainfall, changes in crop and livestock productivity.
- g. Non-Climatic factors: Non climatic factors were also considered such as problems of pest and disease, reduced crop yields, soil fertility problems were also factored in the selection process.

2.2 The Process and Assessment Team

The assessment was conducted from $10^{\text{th}} - 14^{\text{st}}$ June 2013 by a team consisting four (4) SPC technical staff, three (6) Department of Agriculture and Rural Development staff and one (1) Department of Fisheries staff. Prior to the assessment, a briefing and refresher training was conducted with the Santo based Agriculture staffs. Another training was conducted on 11^{th} June for the Sola based Officers to familiarize team members on the assessment tools including the household survey questionnaires.

2.2.1 Household surveys

The primary objective of the survey is to collect information on household income and household expenditures, household consumptions and housing characteristics including other living conditions of households. Survey covered 24% of the households. The Survey Questionnaire used in this study is provided in Annex 5. Microsoft Excel was used to analyse data from the survey.

2.2.2 Participatory Rural Appraisals (PRA) Process

During the assessment, community participants were divided into 3 groups (Men's Group, Women's Group and Youth's Group) with two facilitators from team. Figure 2 shows the steps and tools used in the PRA process. Using the PRA steps and tools, the following definition was used to assess the communities' vulnerability to climate:

"Vulnerability is a function of character, magnitude and rate of climate variation to which a system is exposed, its sensitivity, and its adaptive capacity" (IPCC, 2001). This definition is articulated in the following equation for simplicity: V=E x S/A. Where:

V = Vulnerability: The degree to which a system is susceptible to, or unable to cope with adverse effects of climate change, including climate variability and extremes.

E = Exposure: The nature and degree to which a system is exposed to significant climatic variations (TAR, IPCC). The climate variation includes average climate change and the extreme climate variability. Exposure in this assessment is the character, magnitude and rate of climate variation at local level. The more the local climate has changed or deviated from its historical condition or trend, the more the value of exposure (E) will be; the more the value of E means the more the system is exposed to new climate leading to high vulnerability. Through community participation, "E" is assessed through assessment of change in elements of climate over time – temperature, precipitation, etc and the hazards induced by such changes.



Figure 2. PRA Steps and Tools

S = Sensitivity: Degree to which a system is affected, either adversely or beneficially, by climate-related stimuli. The effect may be direct e.g. a change in crop yield in response to a change in the mean, range or variability of temperature or indirect e.g. damages caused by an increase in the frequency of coastal flooding due to sea-level rise (IPCC, TAR) or floods, landslides, etc. Hence, sensitivity in this assessment is the effect of local climate change and related hazards on local system – biophysical and socioeconomic. Highly sensitive (S) systems will be more impacted compared to low sensitive systems even with a same level of climate change or hazards. Therefore the more the system is sensitive to climate change and related hazards, the more the system is vulnerable to climate change. Sensitivity of a system is assessed

through assessment of effects or impacts or damages of the system from climate change and related hazards.

A = Adaptive Capacity: The ability of a system (in this case the "community") to adjust to climate change (including climate variability and extremes) to moderate potential damages, to take advantage of opportunities, or to cope with the consequences (TAR, IPCC).

Using each of the PRA tools, E, S and A were assessed at LOW, MEDIUM, HIGH and VERY HIGH scales through assessment of their elements based on community perception. In terms of numerical, LOW was denoted by "1", MEDIUM by "2", HIGH by "3" and VERY HIGH by "4". Community perceptions were recorded and collated to determine the E Index, S Index and A Index.

2.2.3 Transect Walk.

After completing each of the PRA and household surveys, the team did a transect walk to validate findings of the assessment. The transect walk findings were then combined with assessment results to guide the formulation of the adaptation strategies provided in this report.

3.0 RESULTS 3.1 Climate Change Vulnerability Assessment for Divers Bay Village *3.1.1 Analysis of Exposure* Table 1. Divers Bay Village Exposure to Climatic change

Variable	Description	Community	Scale
		Perception	Value
Temperature	Number of hot days has increased	Very High	4.00
	Number of cold days has decreased	High	3.00
Rainfall	• Rainfall has become increasingly unpredictable (more frequent)	High - Very High	3.67
Climate induced disasters	Occurrence of Landslides has increased and sea level rise	Medium - High	2.67
	Occurrence of drought has decreased	High	3.00
Mango	Not fruiting for about ten years	Very High	4.00
Breadfruit	Unlike before, fruiting all year round	High	3.00
Yams	• Shorter Season but smaller tubers and more diseases (Anthracnose)	High	3.00
Cassava	• Smaller tubers and taste change (bitter) and harder tubers; rat problems	Medium	2.00
Banana	• Fruits are smaller and taste changed (saltier); more damage from fowls	Low	1.00
Pigs	Higher mortality; less pigs now; slow growth; low survival rate	High	3.00
Chicken	 Lowered egg production = less number of chickens; eye disease problem 	High	3.67
Fish/Crab	Less fish/Inconsistent catches	Very High	4.00
Total			40.00
Average Exposure	Index:	High	3.08

Table 2. Dives Bay Village Sensitivity to Climate Change

Sector	Hazards	Indicators	Community	Scale
			Perception	Value
Agriculture and	Landslides &	Agricultural land damaged	High	3.67
Food Security	Cyclone			
	Cyclone & landslides	Loss of Crop lands	High	3.33
Forest and	Cyclone	Loss of Forest cover	High	3.00
Biodiversity	Cyclone	Loss of Forest products	High	3.33
Water	Cyclone and landslides	Reduced quantity of water	High	3.33
	Cyclone and landslides	• 6 months to recover water quality	High	3.33
	Cyclone and landslides	Reduced Quality of water	High	3.67
Settlement and	Cyclone and landslides	Damaged infrastructure	Very High	4.00
Infrastructure	Cyclone	All infrastructure (houses) damaged	Very High	4.00
Human Health	Cyclone and landslides	Outbreak of Malaria & diarrhoea	High	3.33
	Cyclone and landslides	• Number of people (majority of the population)	Very High	4.00
Average Index Sc	ore:		High	3.55

Table 1 presents the results of the analysis of Divers Bay village exposure to climate change. The average Exposure is high (3.08). Changes in the local climate ranked from High to Very High. Except for banana, most crops assessed were ranked from medium to High. Behaviour of plants and animals were also assesses as proxy indicators of climate change. Behaviour of most plants assessed was also changing. Mango has not fruit for about 10 years. Cassava and yam productivity is reduced with cassava taste is becoming more bitter. It was also noted during the assessment that livestock numbers are decreasing due to high mortality and this is a concern for the communities given their dependency on local production due to their isolation.

3.1.2 Analysis of Sensitivity

Table 2 shows the degree of sensitivity of Divers Bay Village to climate change. The results showed that the Sensitivity of the village to adverse impacts of climate and related stimuli ranges from High to Very High (3.55). Five sectors were selected for the assessment (Natural Assets, Physical assets, Social assets, Financial and Human assets). The highest values were assigned to infrastructure and human health. This is due to absence of proper infrastructure and medical health clinic located in the island. All other sectors including Agriculture and Food Security were ranked High.

Parameters	Indicators	Criteria	Community	Scale
			Perception	Value
Natural	Agriculture Land	• Land use and productivity	M	2.00
Assets	Forests Land & Forest	Availability of product and arminor	М	2.00
	Water	Availability of drinking water and Water Quality	L	1.67
Physical	Infrastructure for services	• Trails	L	1.67
Assets		• Drinking water and electricity	L	1.67
		Settlements and Community Hall	М	2.00
		Housing standards	М	2.00
		• Access to transportation (land, air, sea)	L	1.33
		Access to Health Posts	L	1.67
		Access to Schools	М	2.00
	Information and communication sources	• Access to mobile phones, radio, TVs, papers, and internet	L	1.33
Social	Social institutions and service providers	• Community affiliations to formal/non-formal institutions and engagements of NGOs and GOs with community	L	1.00
Financial	Financial institutions and sufficiency of incomes	Access to Banks, cooperatives and sufficiency for household needs	L	1.00
Human	Demography, Education, Skilled Labour	More elderly and young (lack trained or skilled labour and low education levels)	L	1.00
Total				22.33
Average Inde	ex Score		Low	1.60

Table 3. Dives Bay Village Adaptive Capacity to Climate Change

3.1.3 Analysis of Adaptive Capacity

Table 3 shows the adaptive capacity of Divers Bay village to climate change impacts on the five sectors assessed. The adaptive capacity for each of the sectors is low indicating the limited capacity to adapt to climate change impacts. All social institutions and service providers are outside of the village/island.

3.1.4 Vulnerability index of Divers Bay

Vulnerability (V) = EXS/A = 3.08X3.55/1.60 = **6.84 (VERY HIGH**)

3.2 Population Characteristics

Table 4 shows the population distribution and characteristics of the households. The results show that the only 15% of the population surveyed attended high school while majority of the population's level of education is pre-school and elementary. highest level of education for the households surveyed is High School, indicating low capacity of the households in terms of educational achievements.

Table 4 Population Demographics

Village	No. of households	Population			Education – elementary plus	
		Male	Females	Total		
Divers Bay	94	226	211	437	84.6% Pre-school/Elementary and 15.4% High School	

3.3 Households Income

Table 5 shows average income for households surveyed. On average, 90% of households surveyed indicated sufficient income for their household needs. School fees and church obligations have the biggest impact on financial situation followed by food security. During the assessment, it was noted that due to limited income, most students from Ureparapara attending high school in Gaua, were expelled due to unpaid tuitions. The possible caused of indicating sufficient is due to the fact of their isolation.

Village		Weel	kly Income So	Income Sufficiency	Expenses Impacting			
	Farming	Cooked	Handicrafts	Other	Total	Income/	%	financial
		food				households		situation most
Divers	19900	1300	2500	32500	58700	1087.037	90	School fees (1),
Бау								
								Obligations (1)
								and food security
								(2)

3.4 Land Access and Land Use

Table 7 shows land access and land use for households surveyed. All households have access to land with each household having access to about 6.42 acres. In terms of land quality for agriculture production, 77% indicated that their land is of average quality. All households surveyed grow their own food. Majority of the households surveyed also indicated interest for diversifying their fruit tree and timber tree species.

3.5 Housing/Housing types and appliances

Table 6 shows the housing and housing types for the households surveyed. Majority of the households share living quarters with most or all living quarters are made up of local thatch materials. Community water supply is not evenly distributed to all households. About 77% of the households have water tanks. All households use outhouse toilet pits and battery lamps are the main source of lighting.

Table 6. Housing types, Water Sources and Facilities

Village	Living Quarters	Water sources		Toilet Facilities	Power & Light	Cooking
		Drinking	Washing			
Divers Bay	 Independent (38%) Share 62% Bamboo (15%) Thatch (85%) 	 Household tank (77%) Community water supply (8%) Unprotected well & Spring 15%) 	• Spring (100%)	• Outhouse pit toilet (100%)	 Solar Panels/Generato r (38%) None (62%) 92% Battery Lamp 	• Open fire (100%)

Table 7. Land Access and Land Use

Village	% HH have	Average size	Land Quality	% Grow own	Interest for tree
	land	(acre)		food	
Divers Bay	100	6.42	 Good (23%) Average (77%) 	100	 Fruit tree & Timber (84%) Eirawood and other
			(77%)		• Firewood and other (69%)

Table 8. Energy Availability

Quantity / Person /day	Xan	Sweet potato	Cassava	Banana	Total Local	Rice	Flour	Noodle	Total Import	Tot./ person/day	% Import
g	106.9	114.8	134.1	154.4	510.2	22.5	37.1	9.8	69.4	579.6	24.5%
kcal	91.9	105.7	485.3	92.6	775.5	81.1	135.0	36.0	252.1	1027.6	

Table 9. Protein Availability

Quantity / person/ day	Pig	Chicken	Tuna and Deep fish	Reef fish	Total Local	Can fish	Can meat	Chicken	Tot import	Tot./ person/day	% Import
g	4.9	6.5	14.3	4.2	29.9	8.1	2.7	1.1	11.9	41.8	
kcal	15.9	8	12.3	2.7	38.9	14.9	6.3	1.3	22.6	61.4	36.70%

3.6 Food Consumption Analysis

3.6.1 Energy and Protein Availability

Table 8 shows energy availability while Table 9 shows protein availability for the village. The results indicated that on average, the energy intake per capita per day is less than the FAO/WHO minimum daily requirement for a person to be food secure. There is also an established tendency for reliance on imported food (rice, flour and noodles) for the community. Similar trend was observed for protein source for both villages, there is a tendency to rely on imported food. This is a concern for the community given their isolation and limited shipping to the islands (1 ship in 3 months).

3.7 Transect Walk Findings

Table 12 shows the summary of the transect walk findings. Transect walk findings were used to validate the results of the assessment. Several issues or problems were observed during the transect walk through the crop lands.

Table 10.	Transect	Walk	Findings
-----------	----------	------	----------

	The village & Farming Systems	Main Type of Crops		Livestock
Th	e Village:	Major fruit trees are:	Ma	in types of livestock
•	The village is located on a strip of	Breadfruit	are	<u>:</u>
	the coastal area within the Bay.	• Banana	•	Chicken
		• coconut	٠	Pigs
Cre	op Lands:	• Great orange/lemon/citrus,	٠	Cattle
•	Crop lands are situated about half	• pawpaw		
	a kilometre from village		Issu	<u>1es:</u>
•	Mixed Cropping/Agroforestry	Major root crops are:	•	Very limited
•	Flatland is about ¹ / ₂ km from coast	Sweet Potato		livestock number
	to steep slopes.	Cassava		observed
٠	Plots of Root crops within	• Taro	٠	Communities
	Agroforestry	• Yam		indicated that the
•	Cropping on sloping land			number of livestock
<u>Iss</u> • •	village is located in valley near the coastal area, vulnerable to natural disasters and sea level rise Limited access to communication and basic services Need diversification of agroforestry species Need proper spacing recommendations Area is vulnerable to landslides due to steep mountain (need proper farming systems for slopy lands)	 Major leafy & vegetables: Bele & egg plants Issues: Copra is the main source of income but with the limited transport, copra is usually not sold; limited diversity Nutrient and pest and disease problems observed on root crops Fruit fly on citrus fruits Anthracnose disease affecting yams Limited diversity of Vegetables; Insect boring on bele leaves 	•	is decreasing resulting in low land based protein source for communities Need to increase land protein based

4.0 DISCUSSIONS

4.1 Climate Change Vulnerability of Divers Bay

The study found that the Exposure and Sensitivity to climate change for Divers Bay community is high while their adaptive capacity is low. This has resulted in the climate change vulnerability of the community to be Very High. The results of the analysis indicated that rainfall is increasingly unpredictable by the communities. Likewise, number of hot days is increasing. The communities indicated that the observed changes in the local climate are responsible for observed changes in behaviour of plants and animals. Mangoes are flowering but never reached fruiting stage for the past ten years. Taste of bananas and cassava is also changing. The taste of cassava is becoming more bitterness while bananas are becoming saltier. The actual cause of this is unknown. Mortality rate for livestock is also increasing and were observed to be high during high rainfall.

The study also recorded that landslide is frequently occurring during high rainfall causing agricultural lands and communities to be impacted. Pest and disease incidences are increasing and also coincide with high rainfall. All the five sectors assessed on the impacts of climate change showed that the sectors are highly impacted by climate change and natural disasters.

The study also found that the adaptive capacity of the community to impacts of climate change is low. All sectors assessed were ranked low. The community is situated within a valley of Ureprapara Bay with no access to any form of communication. The types of housing for the communities is mainly thatch houses, with some having bamboo walls. This indicates the vulnerability of the houses to cyclones and other types of natural disasters. On top of that, the community is located on the strip of the coastal areas, very low lying and vulnerable to tsunami, tidal waves and sea level rise.

There is limited transportation to the islands and even the islanders' lack boats/engines. A fibre glass boat has just been donated to the community with two engines. With this boat size, it is difficult to travel during rough weathers. The Vanuatu National Boat comes to the islands ones in 3 months. There is neither medical clinic nor bank located on the islands. The nearest island to access these services are in Sola which is about 3- 4 hours boat ride. In terms of schools, only one primary school is located on the island. The high school that the islands usually send their kids to is on Gaua. All social institutions and service providers are outside of the community, mostly in the neighbouring islands. Income generating opportunity to the islands is almost nil except during visiting vessels/ yachts, offers opportunity to sell local foods and handicrafts.

4.2 Food Security situation for Divers Bay

The four determinants of food security (food availability, food access, food utilization and food stability) were assessed to determine the communities' food security situation.

4.2.1 Food Availability

The food consumption analysis indicated that the energy supply per person per day is much lower than the FAO/WHO minimum daily requirement for an individual to be food secure. Also, protein availability for the village population is quite low (41.8g/day). The main protein source for the community is from the fish with limited from land based sources. Despite the isolation of the community, there is a tendency to rely on food imports. Given the limited income opportunity for the community, this is a big concern for the community. Nevertheless, subsistence agriculture remains vital for food security and livelihoods of the community. Proxy indicators for plants and animals showed that productivity of most staple crops and livestock is decreasing. Mortality rates for livestock is observed to be high compared to before. This is resulting in less number of chicken and pigs to continuously supply the protein requirement for the households. As such it is important to devise interventions to assist boost food production systems for the community.

4.2.2 Food Access

Food access is determined by the household's/individual's access to resources to either produces the food or enough income to purchase a sufficient and safe food. Most households in both villages have access to land to grow their own food. However, the quality and topography of the land is vulnerable to landslides due to the sloppiness of the area. In addition, some households have limited land to continuously cultivate for food production. Income generating opportunity for the community is very low. The only income source for the villagers is copra however, with the irregular shipping to the island is causing most of the copra to rot as the ship comes ones in 3 months. The other income opportunity is during visiting of yachts and tour vessels where the islanders can sell local produce and handicrafts. The villagers indicated the need to establish a proper copra house to store copra for a minimum of three months. If quantity and consistency of copra supply from the island, may stimulate more frequent shipping to the island to pick copra.

4.2.3 Food Utilization

Food utilisation is still very much reliance on local food production. However, there is a need to strengthen food production for the village population to reverse the tendency for reliance on imported foods. Diversification of food production systems will ultimately help diversify the low diversity of diets observed in the village.

4.2.4 Food Stability

In terms of stability of food supply, it is clear from the exercise that food production is already impacted by climate change and non-climatic factors. Behaviour of plants and animals are changing. Fruit trees such as mango are no longer fruiting for about 10 years. Income opportunity for the households is low.

5.0 RECOMMENDATIONS AND ADAPTATION STRATEGIES

The results of this study show that the Divers Bay Villages is already impacted by climate change. The food security of the community is quite vulnerable also. From the results of this study (High Vulnerability to Climate Change and Food Security Risks) and in line with the SPC/USAID project purpose (*Enhanced Climate Change Resilience of Food Production Systems*), below are some adaptation strategies the project will focus on:

- Institutional and social strengthening
- Diversification of food production systems in order to ultimately diversify diet
- Introduction of hardy crop varieties
- Introduction of hardy livestock breeds
- Development of demonstration farms (both crop and livestock)
- Capacity Building in all areas of intervention including climate change and disaster risk reduction programs

Objectives & activities	Objectives Verifiable Indicators (OVIs)	Baseline	End of the Project	Means of Verification (MOVS)	Assumptions
GOAL: Agricu	ture production and pr	oductivity increased		(140 (5)	
PURPOSE: Agriculture production capacity strengthened	 Crop area increased Livestock production increased Crop diversity increased Production problems reduced 	 Low crop production Limited crop diversity Production problems Pest and disease problems Low livestock production 	 Nutrition improved Crop and livestock production and productivity increased 	 Project reports Project survey 	 Limited capacity in agriculture farming techniques Limited access to services Strong support from Govt and donors Strong participation of community members
OUTPUTS: 1. Diversity and productiv ity of crops and livestock increased	 # of crops varieties introduced and utilised Increased yield # of livestock/breed s increased On farm trials established Capacity building provided 	 Limited crop diversity Poor agriculture farming practices Low livestock production Lack of capacity in livestock production Low diet diversity 	 % increase in crop area & agroforestry % increase livestock numbers (pigs and chickens) Diet diversity increased 	 project reports Project survey 	 Limited farming techniques Strong support from Govt and donors Strong support from partner agencies/stake holders Strong participation of community members
2. Communi ty Adaptatio n Capacity strengthe ned	 Income from agriculture sales increased Climate tolerant varieties introduced and distributed Appropriate farming systems adopted 	 Limited capacity/kno wledge in CC adaptation and DRM Limited income opportunity Limited market Poor access to services 	 Income opportunity enhanced % increase in agriculture sales Pest and disease problems identified and control 	 Project reports Project survey 	 Limited capacity in agriculture farming techniques Limited access to services Strong support from partner agencies/stake holders Strong

Appendix 1. Master Logframe

	 Capacity building or agriculture production systems and CC/DRM provided 	and communicati ons • Poor agriculture farming practices	 measures provided Appropriate farming practices adopted Agriculture production problems reduced 	participation of community members
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------	-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	------------------------------------------

Appendix 2. Detailed Logframe Output 1 Diversity and productivity of crops and livestock increased Output 1.1 Diversity and productivity of agroforestry strengthened Activities Indicator **Budget Description** Budget **Responsible** / Year Partners Amount 1 2 1.1.1 Evaluate and document Agroforestry systems Travel Lead: Gibson / Х Agroforestry systems and evaluated and Jalesi diversity documented Partners: DARD. Vanuatu Forestry. Community 1.1.2 Demonstration site for At least two pilot farms As in Activity 1.1.1 Lead: Gibson / Х agroforestry on sloping land identified Jalesi established Partners: DARD, Vanuatu Forestry, Community 1.1.3 Introduction of Number of crop varieties Tools Lead: Gibson / Х Х recommended crop varieties introduced and planted Jalesi incorporated into agroforestry Partners: DARD, systems Vanuatu Forestry, Community **Output Sub-Total** Output 1.2 Increased diversity of vegetable production 1.2.1 Identify potential nursery Nursery site identified Consultation cost Gibson / John / Х site for seedling propagation Oneil, DARD, Community 1.2.2 Identify community Formation of Divers Bay Consultation cost Gibson / John / Х members (preferably women's Women's Vegetable Oneil, DARD, group) to participate in growers Community vegetable production Vegetable seeds and Vegetable seeds and 1.2.3 Introduction of vegetable Gibson / John / Х Х other input supplies seeds and other input supplies other input supplies Oneil, DARD, sourced, procured and for vegetable growing Community distributed 1.2.4 Training on vegetable Gibson / John / Х Х At least 3 trainings Consultation costs production provided (from provided Oneil, DARD, nursery to field Management) Community Training provided to Х 1.2.5 Compost production Consultation costs Gibson / John / Х training provided to women and farmers Oneil, DARD, communities Community **Output Subtotal** Output 1.2 Diversity and productivity of livestock increased Activities Indicator **Budget Description Responsible** / Budget Year Î Amount Partners 2 1.2.1 Explore interest for Livestock types preferred Gibson/John, Х Travel

18

livestock types	by communities	Consultation costs		Oneil,		
1.2.2 Identification of hardy	Identified	Livestock		Gibson / John /	v	
breeds (pig and chicken) and	breeds of chicken and	Transportation costs		Oneil, DARD	Λ	
introduced to the village	pigs identified and	1				
	introduced					37
1.2.3 Feasible livestock	Livestock center	Construction		Gibson / John /	Х	Х
livestock distribution	community	Labour		Community		
	Increased availability of					
	improved livestock					
	breeds for distribution to					
1.2.4 Development of feasible	Potential farmer	Construction		Gibson / John /		X
livestock production model	identified and Livestock	materials		Oneil, DARD		
(piggery and chicken) for the	production model	Labour (as in				
community	established	Activity 1.2.3)		Cibson / John /	_	v
feasible livestock species (such	introduced to the	Transportation		Oneil, DARD.		л
as goats)	community	11 milliop of tanion		Community		
Output Subtotal						
Output 2. Adaptation Capacity	v strengthened					
Output 3.1 Institutional and soc	ial strengthening of the com	munity for CC and DR	М			
Activities	Indicator	Budget Description	Budget	Responsible /	Ye	ear
		I State I State	Amount	Partners	1	2
3.1.1 Establishment of internet	Capacity to respond to	Communication		Gibson/ John/	Х	
and radio communication	emergencies	equipment Monthly fees		Oneil Barton		
3.1.2 Climate change	Number of awareness /	Printing / Supplies		Dean Solofa	X	X
awareness strengthened	campaign materials	0.011		Gibson/ John/		
	distributed			Oneil		
3.1.3 Food security awareness	Number of awareness					
suchgulencu	distributed					
Output Subtotal						
Output 3.2 Enhanced climate cl	nange production systems			•		1
Activities	Indicator	Budget Description	Budget	Responsible /	Ye	ear
		6 1	Amount	Partners	1	2
3.2.1 Establishment of climate	Climate ready collection	Climate ready crops		Gibson/ John/	Х	Х
ready collection crops in	centre established in Sola	costs Shinmont costs		Oneil Barton		
3.2.2 Field try conducted on	Climate hardy varieties	Research costs		Gibson/ John/	X	X
the climate ready collection	identified			Oneil Barton		
varieties						
3.2.3 Hardy varieties to	Hardy varieties identified	Transportation		Gibson/ John/		Х
propagated and distributed				Onen Barton		
Output Subtotal						
Output 3.3 Strengthen income of	pportunity for the communi	ty				
Activities	Indicator	Budget Description	Budget	Responsible /	Ye	ear
			Amount	Partners	1	2
3.3.1 Copra processing facility	Sales for copra increased	Copra ware house		Gibson/Livo &	Х	
developed		ounding materials		Community		
3.3.2 Conduct feasibility study	Study identifying income	Travel		Gibson/John /		
for income generating	opportunity for the			Oneil		
opportunities	communities			IACT	1	1

3.2.3 Identification of other potential income generating agricultural products	At least 2 commodities for each village identified	Consultation costs		Gibson/John/ Oneil IACT	Х	X
3.3.3 Training on food processing and marketing provided	Enhanced capacity	Consultation costs		Gibson/John/ Oneil IACT	Х	Х
Output Subtotal						
Output 3.4 Production problems	reduced					
Activities	Indicator	Budget Description	Budget	Responsible /	Ye	ear
			Amount	Partners	1	2
3.4.1 Identification of pests and	Major pests and diseases	Travel		Gibson/Atu &	Х	Х
3.4.1 Identification of pests and diseases	Major pests and diseases identified	Travel		Gibson/Atu & Oneil /John,	Х	Х
3.4.1 Identification of pests and diseases	Major pests and diseases identified	Travel		Gibson/Atu & Oneil /John, Community	Х	X
3.4.1 Identification of pests and diseases3.4.2 Control measures sought	Major pests and diseases identified Control and eradication	Travel Consultation costs		Gibson/Atu & Oneil /John, Community Gibson/Atu &	X X	X X
3.4.1 Identification of pests and diseases3.4.2 Control measures sought and provided	Major pests and diseases identified Control and eradication methods provided	Travel Consultation costs Transportation		Gibson/Atu & Oneil /John, Community Gibson/Atu & Oneil /John,	X X	X X
3.4.1 Identification of pests and diseases3.4.2 Control measures sought and provided	Major pests and diseases identified Control and eradication methods provided	Travel Consultation costs Transportation /shipment costs		Gibson/Atu & Oneil /John, Community Gibson/Atu & Oneil /John, Community	X X	X X
3.4.1 Identification of pests and diseases3.4.2 Control measures sought and provided3.4.3 Identify non-climatic	Major pests and diseases identified Control and eradication methods provided Non-climatic factors	Travel Consultation costs Transportation /shipment costs Transportation		Gibson/Atu & Oneil /John, Community Gibson/Atu & Oneil /John, Community Gibson/Atu &	X X X	X X X
 3.4.1 Identification of pests and diseases 3.4.2 Control measures sought and provided 3.4.3 Identify non-climatic production problems and 	Major pests and diseases identified Control and eradication methods provided Non-climatic factors identified and solutions	Travel Consultation costs Transportation /shipment costs Transportation		Gibson/Atu & Oneil /John, Community Gibson/Atu & Oneil /John, Community Gibson/Atu & Oneil /John,	X X X	X X X
 3.4.1 Identification of pests and diseases 3.4.2 Control measures sought and provided 3.4.3 Identify non-climatic production problems and solutions identified 	Major pests and diseases identified Control and eradication methods provided Non-climatic factors identified and solutions provided	Travel Consultation costs Transportation /shipment costs Transportation		Gibson/Atu & Oneil /John, Community Gibson/Atu & Oneil /John, Community Gibson/Atu & Oneil /John, Community	X X X	X X X
 3.4.1 Identification of pests and diseases 3.4.2 Control measures sought and provided 3.4.3 Identify non-climatic production problems and solutions identified Output Subtotal 	Major pests and diseases identified Control and eradication methods provided Non-climatic factors identified and solutions provided	Travel Consultation costs Transportation /shipment costs Transportation		Gibson/Atu & Oneil /John, Community Gibson/Atu & Oneil /John, Community Gibson/Atu & Oneil /John, Community	X X X	X X X

APPENDIX 3. PRA Team Members

No.	Name	Title	Program/Agency	Email address
1.	Gibson Susumu	Food Security Technical	CP&E, SPC LRD	GibsonS@spc.int
		Officer		
2.	Siosiua Halavatau	Crop Production and	CP&E, SPC LRD	SiosiuaH@spc.int
		Agriculture Extension		
		Coordinator		
3.	Dean Solofa	Climate Change Officer	CP&E, SPC LRD	DeanS@spc.int
4.	Fereti Atumarava	IPM Officer	PH, SPC LRD	FeretiA@spc.int
5.	Livo Mele	Director	DARD, Vanuatu	lmele@vanuatu.gov.vu
6.	Oniel Dalesa	DSAP Graduate Research	DARD, Santo	
7.	John Antas	Agri Assistant Officer	Torba Province	
8.	Kasen Alick	Forestry Officer	DOF, Vanuatu	
9.	Jimmy Willie	Fisheries Extension Off.	Torba Province	
10.	Barton Bisiwei	Provincial Agri Officer	Torba Province	

USAID CC Project

Vulnerability and Adaptation Survey





Section1: Background Information

1.1 Household No.:	1.4 Interviewer name:
1.2 Village:	1.5 Date: / / /
	1.6 Time:
1.3 Respondent name:	

Section 2: Demographics

2.1 Household composition

Household Member No.	Ethnicity	Relationship to H/ H	Sex	Age(Years)	Marital Status	Highest level of Education completed

<u>CODES</u>

Sex

1. Male

2. Female

Ethnicity 1.Fijian

- 2. Indian
- a. Chinese
- 4. Others
- Spouse
 Child
- 4. Parent
- 5. Grandchild

R'ship to HH

1. Hhold head

- 6. Other relation
- 7. Not related

Marital Status
1. Never Married

- 2. Married
- 3. Widowed
- 4. Separated
- 5. Divorced
- 6. Other
 - Other
- Education
- 0. None
- Kindergarton
 Elementary
- 3. High School
- 4. College
- 5.University
- 6. Vocational

Section 2: Household and Housing 2.1 – .9 Dwelling Structure and Amenities

2.1 MAIN type of living quarters

- 1-Independent
- 2-Shared building
- 3-Other

2.2 MAIN type of material for walls of the house

- 1-Concrete
- 2-Corrugated Iron/Tin
- 3-Timber/Wood
- 4- Thatch
- 5-Other
- 6-None

- 2.3 MAIN source of drinking water
 - 1Public utility water supply
 - 2-Community water supply
 - 3-Household tank
 - 4-Protected well
 - 5-Unprotected well
 - 6-Other
- 2.4 MAIN source of washing water
 - 1-Public utility water supply
 - 2-Community water supply
 - 3-Household tank
 - 4-Protected well

5-Unprotected well 7-Spring, river, lake 8-Other 2.5 MAIN toilet facility 1-Flush toilet 2-Water seal 3-Outhouse, pit toilet 6-Other 2.6 MAIN form of sewage disposal 1-Connected to sewer line 2-Connected to septic tank 3-Use other means 2.7 MAIN source of power you have access to; 1-Public utility 2. Generator 2-Solar Panels 3-Other 4-None 2.8 MAIN source of lighting 1-Public utility 2-Generator 3-Solar panel 4-Kerosene lamp 5-Battery lamp 6-Other 7-None 2.9 MAIN cooking facility 1-Electric range 2-Gas stove 3-Portable electric stove 4-Kerosene stove 5-Microwave oven 6-Wood stove 7-Open fire 8-Other

Section 3: Income

3.1 Income Sources

In the table below, please provide the average annual income of the household as a whole, for each of the categories provided below (Please leave the total as blank)

Sources of incomes	Av. income/week (\$)
Selling farm produce	
Selling cooked foods	
Salary/wages	
Selling handicrafts	
Remittances	
Others (small business etc.)	
Total weekly income	

3.2 Income Sufficiency

Is the total weekly income sufficient for the household?

Yes (Go to q3.3)

No (Provide the MAIN method the household meets their basic needs)

1-Assisted by extended family members

2-Borrow from neighbors

- 3-Barter exchange
- 4-Other
- 5-None

3.3 Financial Impact

Please rank from 1 to 6 (1 being "most impact") the impact of the following obligations on the household's financial situation?

	Rank from 1 to 6 (1 most impact)
Traditional obligations	
Church obligations	
Food security (meals, preserved food, etc.)	
School fees	
Health care	
Shelter, clothing, etc.	

Section 4: Land Access/Use

4.1 Land Access

Do you have access to land?

Yes – my own land (Go to q7.3)

Yes - leasing from someone else

No

<u>4.2 – 4.5 Land Use</u>

- 1. What does a forest or a tree mean to you?
- 2. Do you know what benefits you can derived from forests and trees
- 3. Do you have trees in your farm? Are they planted or part of the natural stand? If the trees are planted, how were they selected?
- 4. What are the trees currently planted at your farm (species\local names and nos. of trees)
 - Fruit\nuts trees
 - Timber trees
 - Ornamental trees
 - Fuelwood trees
 - Medicinal trees
 - Others (fodder, soil conditioner\protection, etc.)
- 5. How the trees were planted (positioning) within the farm lot? Are they integrated with food crops?
- 6. What benefits have you derived so far from the existing trees?
- 7. Are you interested to plant more trees in your farm? What kind of trees would you prefer to grow?
 - Fruit\nuts trees
 - Timber trees
 - Ornamental trees
 - Fuelwood trees
 - Medicinal trees
 - Others (fodder, soil conditioner\protection, etc.)
- 8. Do you already have the skill on how to propagate trees?
 - From seeds (including seed collection seedling production and maintenance

- Vegetative propagation (cuttings, grafting, marcotting, etc.) _
- Field planting and maintenance _
- 9. Do you have existing facilities (including labor) to raise your planting materials?

Section 5: Food Availability

5.1 Crops In a typical <u>WEEK</u> how much crops does your household consume, give away, sell, receive as gifts and purchase?

CROP	Total produced by the household Weight (lbs)						Received as gift (lbs)	Purchase another househole	d from d/ store
	Total =a+b+c	Household consumpti on (a)	Preserve d	Given Away	Sold	Sold (\$ Value)		Amount (lbs)	\$ Value
	+u		(0)	(0)	(a)				
Taro (Colocasia)									
Cassava									
Banana									
Yams									
Taro (Xanthosoma)									
Coconut									
Sweet potato									
Breadfruit									
Other									
Total									

<u>5.2 Livestock harvest</u> In a typical <u>WEEK</u> how much livestock does your household consume, give away, sell, receive as gifts and purchase?

LIVESTOCK	Total produced by the household Weight (lbs)					Received as gift (lbs)	Purchase another household	d from l/ store
	Total	Household consumption (a)	Given Away	Sold	Sold (\$ Value)		Amount (lbs)	\$ Value
	=a+b+c		(b)	(c)				
Pigs								

Beef				
Mutton				
Chicken				
Ducks				
Other				
Total				

5.3 Seafood harvest In a typical <u>WEEK</u> how much sea food produce does your household consume, give away, sell, receive as gifts and purchase

SEAFOOD	Total produced by the household Weight (lbs)						Received as gift (lbs)	Purchase another househol	ed from d/ store
	Total	Household consumption (a)	Preserved	Given Away	Sold	Sold (\$ Value)		Amount	\$ Value
Tuna and other deep sea fish					(u)				
Reef fish									
Shellfish									
Crab									
Lobsters									
Coconut crab									
Other									
Total									

5.4 Frequency of Consumption (Staple Foods) How many days in a typical week does your household consume the following produce? Check $(\sqrt{)}$

Food Items	Mostly (5+)	Sometimes (2-4)	Rare (once or less)	None
taro				
cassava				
Banana				
yams				
Coconut				
Sweet potato				
Breadfruit				
Other				

Section 6: Imported Foods

6.1 Amount and Value of Imported Foods

In the following table, please provide details of the amount of each imported food item the household purchases in a typical <u>MONTH</u>. Also provide an estimate of the value of this food

Imported Food	Quantity imported (quantity in numbers e.g. cases)	Total Costs (\$ Value)
Rice		
Flour		
Ramen Noodles		
Canned fish		
Canned meat		
Soft drinks		
Chicken		
Mutton		

6.2 Frequency of Consumption (Imported Foods)

How many days in a typical week does your household consume the following produce? Check ($\sqrt{}$)

Food Items	Mostly (>5)	Sometimes (2-4)	Rarely (once)	None
Rice				
Flour				
Ramen Noodles				
Canned fish				
Canned meat				
Chicken				
Mutton				

Section 7: Information, Communications and Extension

7.1 Rank the following media formats in their usefulness to receive information:

Format	Most Useful	Useful	Not Useful
Posters/leaflets			
Radio programme			
Newspaper			
Video programme			
Mobile phone			
Internet			

- 7.2 Do you own a mobile phone _____ yes _____ no
- 7.3 If you own a mobile phone, which service provider _____ Digicel _____ TCC ____
- 7.4 Do you own a smarthphone? Yes/No.
- 7.5 Do you know someone who owns a smartphone? Yes/No
- 7.6 Do you want to receive useful farming tips using text messages? Yes/No

If Yes, are you willing to pay for the text messages at 20cenets a message? Yes/No

- 7.7 Does your household have a computer? Yes/No
- 7.8 Do you have access to the Internet? Yes/No
- 7.9 Do you know your extension officer? Yes/No.

When did you last meet your extension officer? In the last six months? Yes/No.

- 7.10 Do you belong to a farmer network group? Yes/No. Name: ______
- 7.11 Do you belong to village group? Yes/No Name: _____